## **Original** Article

# Relationship between Peer Influence and Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages in Adolescents

Dina Pamarta<sup>1</sup>, Suminah<sup>2</sup>, Sumardiyono<sup>3</sup>

#### **Abstract**

**Background**: Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages (SSBs) in Indonesia among adolescents is high at 72%. Nevertheless, identical adolescent behaviour is to be accepted by the peer group. Peer groups have a high probability of influencing the consumption of adolescents. **Objective**: To determine the relationship between peer influence and consumption of SSBs in adolescents. **Methods**: The study employed an observational design with a cross-sectional approach. The sample consisted of 115 senior high schoolsstudents in the Surakarta area. Peer data collection and consumption of SSBs were conducted by filling out online questionnaires independently by adolescents. After data collection, analysis was done using Chi-square test. **Results**: Our data showed that there is a relationship between peer influence and consumption of SSBs with value (p=0.000). **Conclusion**: Peer influence is an important factor in consumption of SSBs in adolescents.

Keywords: Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, obesity, peer influence, Indonesia

International Journal of Human and Health Sciences Vol. 06 No. 03 July '22 Page: 289-291 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.31344/ijhhs.v6i3.460

#### Introduction

Obesity is the most severe health problem in society and can increase morbidity. Obesity is associated with chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, hypertension, dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes, mood disorders, and chronic kidney disease<sup>1</sup>. One of the causes of obesity among adolescents is the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs). SSBs are beverages with added sugar, including high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS), sucrose, and fruit juices with added sugar intentionally. Consumption of SSBs is currently more common in middle-income countries2.A survey conducted in Indonesia indicated that SSBs were consumed at least once per day with 41% of adolescents, 33% of adults, and 24% of children. Hence, SSB products were consumed by adolescents 72%, followed by children 62%, and adults 61%3. Adolescence is a period of transition physically and psychologically. In this period, many habits are formed, especially in food habits<sup>4</sup>.

Furthermore, adolescents are identical to desiring to be accepted by the group, especially their peer group. This peer group also has a high probability of influencing adolescents' consumption<sup>5</sup>. Based on thereality, the present study aims to identify the relationship between peer influence and the consumption of SSBs in adolescents.

### **Methods**

This cross-sectional, analytical study was conducted in high schools in Surakarta, including SMAN 2, SMAN 4, and SMAN 7 Surakarta, Indonesia. The research sample was 115 high school students selected by a simple random sampling technique. The inclusion criteria were adolescents in 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup>grade, while the exclusion criteria were adolescents who had moved from SMAN 2, SMAN 4, and SMAN 7 at the study time. Data collection was completed by filling out an online questionnaire in a google form. Furthermore, the data will be analyzed using Chi-square test with SPSS software (Version

- 1. Postgraduate Program of Nutrition Science, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia.
- 2. Agricultural Extension and Communication Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia.
- 3. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia.

Correspondence to: Dina Pamarta, Postgraduate Program of Nutrition Science, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia, E-mail: d.pamarta@gmail.com

23.0, Chicago, IL, USA). The value is considered significant if P-value<0.05.

#### **Results**

Respondents' characteristics ranged from 17-18 years old, including 25.2% male and 74.8% female. Most of the respondents (57.4%) had peer groups, and some respondents (52.2%) consumed high SSBs (Table 1).73.3% of adolescents had strong peer influence and high consumption of SSBs. The chi-square test results showed that there is a relationship between peer influence and consumption of SSBs. Adolescents had 4.125 times the probability of consuming SSBs based on peer influence (p=0.000, OR=4.125, 95% CI) (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Characteristics of respondents (n=115)

Variables	Value (%)		
Gender			
Male	25.2 74.8		
Female			
Age (Years)	67.8 32.3		
18 Peer Influence Low	42.6 57.4		
High			
SSBs consumption	47.8		
Sufficient High	52.2		

**Table 2**. Relationship between Peer Influence and Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages in Adolescents

	SSBs consumption					
	Sufficient		High		P	OR
	n	%	n	%		
Peer Influence						
Low	33	60	16	26.7	0.000	4.125
High	22	40	44	73.3		

#### **Discussion**

Our results showed that 73.3% of adolescents had strong peer influence and high consumption

of SSBs. Peer influence is like a friend who likes SSBs, they always buy SSBswhilehanging out with friends, and friends always invite them to purchase SSBs. The bivariate analysis results obtained a value (p=0.000), which means that there is a relationship between peer influence and SSB consumption in adolescents. It is in line with other studies, which stated that there is a relationship between peer influence and consumption of foreign fast food such as frenchfries with a value (p=0.016), waffles with a value (p=0.014), beef burgers (p=0.029), and chicken burgers (p=0.025)5. Another study also mentioned that individuals consumed high levels of snacks and soft drinks when close to peers who had high combined consumption with snacks at school and soft drinks at vending machines<sup>6</sup>. Consumption of unhealthy food friends is associated with unhealthy consumption of individuals, especially adolescents who are more favored will tend to consume unhealthy foods. Therefore, a friend's total energy intake correlates with an individual's total energy intake<sup>7</sup>.

# **Conclusion**

The results of the research and analysis concluded that there is a relationship between peer influence and consumption of SSBs in adolescents (p=0.000), and adolescents have 4,125 times the probability of consuming SSBs based on peer influence.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank all participants and school authorities for their enormous help to successfully conduct the study.

**Conflict of interest:** None declared.

**Funding statement:** The authors acknowledge the partial grant from Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia, to support this study.

**Ethical approval:** The research was approved by the Health Research Ethics Commission Muhammadiyah Surakarta University, Indonesia (No.3554/B.1/KEPK-FKUMS/V/2021).

**Authors'contribution:** Concept and design: DP;Data collection, analysis: DP, S, S; Critical review, manuscript writing and final revision: DP, S, S.

# **References:**

- 1. Schetz M, De Jong A, Deane AM, Druml W, Hemelaar P, Pelosi P, et al. Obesity in the critically ill: a narrative review. Intensive Care Med. 2019;45(6):757-69.
- Malik VS, Hu FB. The role of sugar-sweetened beverages in the global epidemics of obesity and chronic diseases. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2022;0123456789.
- Laksmi PW, Morin C, Gandy J, Moreno LA, Kavouras SA, Martinez H, et al. Fluid intake of children, adolescents and adults in Indonesia: results of the 2016 Liq.In7 national cross-sectional survey. Eur J Nutr. 2018;57(3):89-100.
- 4. Somichon K, John S, Sivapriya T. Factors associated

- with soft drink consumption among adolescents in the age group of 16-18. Int J Home Sci IJHS. 2017;3(31):336-40.
- Hidayati KF, Mahmudiono T, Buanasita A, Setiawati FS, Ramadhani N. Peer Influence dan Konsumsi Makanan Cepat Saji Remaja di SMAN 6 Surabaya. Amerta Nutr. 2019;3(4):218.
- 6. Wouters EJ, Larsen JK, Kremers SP, Dagnelie PC, Geenen R. Peer influence on snacking behavior in adolescence. Appetite. 2010;55(1):11-7.
- 7. Sawka KJ, McCormack GR, Nettel-Aguirre A, Swanson K. Associations between aspects of friendship networks and dietary behavior in youth: Findings from a systematized review. Eat Behav. 2015;18:7-15.